WAO Review of Governance Action Plan 20/10/2017

Ref	Proposal for Improvement	Intended outcome / benefit	High priority	Accepted (yes/no)	Actions identified (Management response)	Start date	Completion date	Action Owner (Responsible	Dependen cies	Notes on actions taken	BRAG status
P1	Reviewing the current remit and role of the Cabinet to enable it to focus on the Council's key priorities and significant service changes.	Cabinet is more efficient in focusing on the key priority areas of the Council. G3 The agenda for cabinet meetings focuses on the strategic issues facing the Council and the supporting reports contain scrutiny recommendations thus negating the need for Scrutiny Chairs and Group Leaders to address the cabinet. This will create a more effective governance	Yes	Yes	G3 Amend Council Constitution to support strategic decision making by Cabinet and Pre-Scrutiny	01/02/2017	07/03/2017	officer) Democratic Services Committee / Cabinet / Joint Chairs (Clive Pinney / Steve Boyd / Wyn Richards)		The Constitution was changed on 7 th March 2017 to remove the right of group leaders and scrutiny chairs to speak on all matters on a Cabinet Agenda. In future group leaders have no right to speak, and scrutiny chairs can only speak if their scrutiny committee has actually scrutinised the matter. Cabinet.pdf Chairs of Scrutiny Panels A and B appointed and both have held their first meetings. Training provided 19/06/2017.	
		more ejjective governance process at Cabinet meetings. G6 Elected members understand their respective executive and scrutiny roles with cabinet portfolio holders understanding their remit and relationship with officers of the Council. Officers of the Council fully understand the role of the cabinet as a strategic decision making body and the need for objective prescrutiny of key decisions and objective enquiry and challenge of performance and decision making. Elected members understand and respect their respective roles, the roles of officers and the chairing of meetings			G6 Produce and implement a development programme to improve strategic decision making, scrutiny and inter-member working.	18/05/2017	Autumn 2017	Jeremy Patterson / Clive Pinney	Political willingness	Member Development is required to focus the new cabinet on their need to focus on key priorities and significant service changes. Discussions have already taken place with Hay for the delivery of such training. The training will also focus on the relationship between Cabinet and scrutiny. Induction processes started. Will need to continuously monitor as we go through the process, to identify any developmental needs.	
		The authority is able to measure the impact of delegated decisions on cabinet capacity through KPIs (Number of reports received / Number of reports that went to delegated decision / Number of reports that went through Cabinet)			Monthly report to be presented to Management team outlining the number of reports for delegated decision.	01/03/2017	Ongoing	Clive Pinney	Political willingness	Increased emphasis on the use of delegated decisions instead of cabinet reports for relatively unimportant matters. First report to be presented in July, capturing the previous 12 months to provide a baseline.	
		G5 Cabinet working groups are informed by and consider comment and feedback from scrutiny and audit.			G5 Cabinet and Joint Chairs to review working and terms of reference of Strategic Overview Board. G5 Cabinet and Joint Chairs to review working and terms of reference of		28/02/2017 Autumn 2017	Cabinet / Joint Chairs (David Powell / Clive Pinney) Cabinet / Joint Chairs		Report to be produced and submitted to C&P Board for decision on 26 th September 2017.	

Ref	Proposal for Improvement	Intended outcome / benefit	High priority (yes/no)	Accepted (yes/no)	Actions identified (Management response)	Start date	Completion date	Action Owner (Responsible officer)	Dependen cies	Notes on actions taken	BRAG status	
					Corporate Commissioning and Procurement Board.			(David Powell / Clive Pinney)				
P2	scrutiny committees in considering service change.	Scrutiny Committees have a clearly defined role and an opportunity to challenge and scrutinise service change proposals. G4 Ensure that there is	Yes	Yes	G4 Pre-Scrutiny of major decisions – increase the use of pre-scrutiny, particularly on strategic items. To be identified by Joint Chairs in consultation with Senior Officers.	01/03/2017	Review January 2018	Chair of Place Scrutiny Committee / Clive Pinney	Full and updated Cabinet Forward Work Programm e.	Increased emphasis on pre-scrutiny beginning to take shape. Engagement with HoS. Review success of this in January 2018. At Joint Chairs they are considering the Cabinet work programme and the delegated decision work programme, and deciding which items require pre-scrutiny. Where there is insufficient capacity, scrutiny will decide what remains on the programme / what takes priority.		
		objective pre-scrutiny of the commissioning decisions relating to Highways, Transport and Recycling			Access scrutiny expertise from other organisations where required, and use this as a learning process. Identify Scrutiny topics where external support would be useful.	01/03/2017	Ongoing requirement	Wyn Richards	Availability of additional resource	Consideration of additional external support for scrutiny committees in complex areas / matters. Initial discussions held with CfPS. Completed once (HTR Project). Feedback from Scrutiny Members was excellent.		
		See G6			See G6	18/05/2017	Autumn 2017	Jeremy Patterson / Clive Pinney		Member Development is required to focus Scrutiny Members of their role and their relationship with Cabinet. Discussions have already taken place with Hay for the delivery of such training.		
		G7 The Council is informed as to the resources and capabilities required to ensure effective decision making, and to develop scrutiny so that it makes an effective contribution to corporate governance.			G7 Review the current resources and capabilities supporting scrutiny, democratic services and performance management.	01/04/2017	21/07/2017	Wyn Richards		Research into best practice elsewhere. Have made contact with CfPS. Visit to another LA. Review completed in September 2017. Awaiting formal decision on funding to recruit additional scrutiny resource.		
P3	Aligning Cabinet and Scrutiny work programmes to include details of forthcoming	Cabinet To maximise the role of Cabinet and Scrutiny committees and to improve transparency. The cabinet and Scrutiny committees and to improve transparency. The cabinet Work programme contains sufficient detail and clarity that enables the essible Organisation to meet the	Cabinet and Scrutiny committees and to improve transparency.	Yes	Yes	G2a Review and develop the Cabinet Work Programme	01/03/2017	Ongoing	Clive Pinney / Steve Boyd / Wyn Richards		The need for at least a Cabinet forward work programme is constantly being re-emphasised by officers and the Leader. Portfolio Holders are prompted at every meeting to keep the work programme up to date. Scrutiny Manager attending DMT meetings to keep their work programmes up to date.	
	service change proposals and making them easily accessible				G2b Review and develop the Scrutiny Work Programme	01/03/2017	Complete			Joint Chairs of Scrutiny now meet once a month to consider the cabinet Forward Work programme and to decide the matters that need to be scrutinised. Reviewed for new Council. Will be developed on an ongoing basis.		
					The scrutiny work programme will be placed on the website asap	01/04/2017	31/08/2017			To be published on the public website by the end of August.		
P4	Improving the quality of information and options	Members make informed decisions based on availability of comprehensive options appraisals.	Yes	ves Yes	Improve the quality of the data provided to ensure well-informed business cases and robust options appraisals, by the provision of additional training for Finance Business Partners and relevant BPU and BI staff.		TBC	Jane Thomas / Mark Evans / Andrew Durant				
					Identify a provider for Data Analysis Training, and arrange training		September 2017	Clive Pinney / Sarah Powell		CP to chase SP to identify a provider and new completion date.		
					Improve Project Management Training – further element required to enable analysis of the data for use in Business Cases.		March 2018	Clive Pinney / Sarah Powell		CP to chase SP to identify a provider and new completion date.		
					Identify a provider to deliver training to HoS on Options Appraisals		September 2017	Clive Pinney / Sarah Powell		CP to chase SP to identify a provider and new completion date.		
					Provide training sessions on Options Appraisals		December 2017	Clive Pinney / Sarah Powell		CP to chase SP to identify a provider and new completion date.		
					Develop clear guidance and Project Management Methodology and Toolkit which is easily accessible on the Intranet.		TBC	Yvonne Owen- Newns		Commissioning Toolkit available on the Intranet. Transformation Office Strategic Development Plan currently being produced which incorporates this action.		

Ref	Proposal for	Intended outcome / benefit	High	Accepted	Actions identified	Start date	Completion	Action Owner	Dependen	Notes on actions taken	BRAG
	Improvement	,	priority	(yes/no)	(Management response)		date	(Responsible	cies		status
			(yes/no)					officer)			
					Greater emphasis on the need for						
					information and options appraisals to be						
					written in language that can be more easily						
					understood.						
					Adoption of Executive Summaries where it is appropriate to do so.						
					Improve Member understanding at both		March 2018	Clive Pinney / Sarah		CP to chase SP to identify a provider and new completion date.	
					decision making level and Scrutiny level, to		IVIUI CII 2018	Powell		Cr to chase so to identify a provider and new completion date.	
					understand and challenge the appraisal			rowen			
					methodology and the evidence provided.						
					Improve the pre-scrutiny of robust option	01/03/2017	Ongoing				
					appraisals - see G4		requirement				
		F1 Medium Term Financial			F1 Effective savings plans for all MTFS		In place	David Powell / Jane			
		Strategy is supported by			commitments.			Thomas			
		effective planning and									
	-1	project management.	.,			0.100100.0					
	Clarify	To improve transparency	Yes	Yes	A review of the current system of	01/02/2017	October	Clive Pinney /		Review of priorities means that this will be delivered later than	
	delegated authorities,	and accountability.			delegation and the recording of decision is being undertaken and thereafter a new /		December 2017	Debby Jones		anticipated.	
	particularly for				amended regime will be put in place with a		2017				
	significant				view to ensuring greater transparency.						
	service changes				The need to provide a written record of		Ongoing	Clive Pinney		Review of priorities means that this will be delivered later than	
	so that decisions				each decision (and the reason for the		- 5- 5	/		anticipated.	
	are transparent				decision) in matters where there is					·	
	and				significant service provision change is being						
	accountability				re-emphasised.						
	for them is										
	clear.	To study ath an and impossor	Vaa	Vac	This is allowed with the actions wader D4	01/02/2017	20/06/2017	Chave David		Cohinet vanant town lete various dand undeted. Need to undete	
	Strengthening	To strengthen and improve the transparency of impact	Yes	Yes	This is aligned with the actions under P4 above. A new report template is being	01/02/2017	30/06/2017	Steve Boyd		Cabinet report template reviewed and updated. Need to update template again to include review date.	
	arrangements for monitoring	monitoring arrangements to			developed to indicate where scrutiny of a					template again to include review date.	
	the impact of	facilitate a greater			report has been undertaken and an						
		understanding of the impact			additional section will be added to the						
	including	of service changes.			template to ensure that the issue of						
	explicitly setting				monitoring the impact of service changes						
	out at the point				and identify is addressed on the face of						
	of decision what				reports and to identify the person		30/06/2017	Steve Boyd		Review date tracker to be created.	
	will be				responsible for undertaking the monitoring						
	monitored,				and the timescale in which such monitoring						
	where this will be monitored				should take place. The agreed monitoring regime will automatically feed into the						
	and who will be				cabinet forward work programme.						
	responsible for				cabilite for ward work programme.						
	doing so.										